| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/580,913 | VILAS BOAS, ARMANDO |
|---|---|--|
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | VINH P. NGUYEN | 2829 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) VINH P. NGUYEN. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Castel. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 4 September 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica | nt's representative) | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1-11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| Examiner discussed with Mr Castel about making some changes in claims 1-11 for improving the clarity (see Examiner's amendment) | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly in the necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly interview. | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)